

GEORGIA BOARD OF PHARMACY
Conference Call
2 Peachtree Street, NW, 6th Floor
Atlanta, GA 30303
July 15, 2020
9:00 a.m.

The following Board members were present:

Lisa Harris, President
Mike Faulk, Vice-President
Carrie Ashbee
Michael Azzolin
Michael Brinson
Hal Henderson
Bill Prather
Dean Stone

Staff present:

Eric Lacefield, Executive Director
Dennis Troughton, Director, GDNA
Michael Karnbach, Deputy Director, GDNA
Max Changus, Assistant Attorney General
Kimberly Emm, Attorney
Brandi Howell, Business Support Analyst I

Visitors:

Stephen Georgeson, GRA Pharmacy Council
Greg Reybold
Travis Clark
Becca Hallum, Georgia Hospital Association
Helen Sloat
Jaclyn Howard
Bethany Sherrer
Carla Lea Winkles
Stephen Snow, Bendin Sumrall & Ladner, LLC

Open Session

President Harris established that a quorum was present and called the meeting to order at 9:02 a.m.

Mr. Lacefield asked the visitors on the call to send an email via the “Contact Us” portal on the website if he/she would like his/her name reflected as being in attendance in the minutes.

Approval of Minutes

Mr. Prather made a motion to approve the Public and Executive Session minutes from the June 17, 2020 Conference Call and the June 18, 2020 Conference Call. Mr. Brinson seconded. Discussion was held by Mr. Azzolin regarding suggested changes he emailed to Mr. Lacefield and Ms. Howell. Mr. Lacefield stated that he asked Ms. Howell to make the revisions and place on Sharepoint for the Board to review; however, it was important to note that the minutes are not verbatim, but if there was something that needed to be clarified that was not documented, staff can make those changes. The Board recommended tabling this matter until later in the meeting to allow time to review the suggested changes.

Report of Licenses Issued

Mr. Brinson made a motion to ratify the list of licenses issued. Mr. Stone seconded and the Board voted unanimously in favor of the motion.

Petitions for Rule Waiver or Variance

The Board discussed the rule variance petitions submitted by Nathan P. Hoxsie and Erica Wilkins, along with the rule waiver petition submitted by Torrea S. Harris. President Harris commented that all three

individuals failed the practical at one point. She further stated that Ms. Wilkins and Ms. Harris were licensed pharmacists in one state and have practiced for some time. President Harris stated that Mr. Hoxsie passed the law portion, but failed the practical and is requesting the Board waive it. Mr. Prather stated that he contacted Mr. Changus concerning the Board's liability if it were to waive the practical examination for each of these and grant him/her a license. He further stated that he believes this is something the Board needs to take into consideration. Mr. Changus responded by stating that he does not believe it would be an issue in terms of liability. He continued by stating that when Rule 480-2-0.41-.04 was enacted, it was meant to be an accommodation of current times. He added that he thinks the concern was addressed in part by putting in a caveat stating if the individual has failed an exam previously, he/she would not qualify. Mr. Changus stated that every applicant that has previously failed an exam would be in the same situation. He further stated that there is deliberation and consideration as to why the rule was written that way. Mr. Stone commented that he would be interested in reviewing each applicant's scores to get a bigger context for all three. Mr. Lacefield responded by stating that the Board could discuss each applicant's exam scores in Executive Session. Mr. Henderson commented that his concern is regarding consistency and asked if the Board were to waive this rule for these three applicants, how many others are in the same position who did not through the trouble of submitting a petition? President Harris responded by stating that each candidate has an opportunity to petition the Board. She continued by stating when the Board created the rule, that was part of the concern. She stated that the Board can review each petition on a case by case basis. Mr. Stone responded by stating he would like consistency as well, but the Board did previously discuss the candidate submitting a rule petition and that the Board would consider each on a case by case basis. Mr. Azzolin stated that if the Board were to waive the rule for these three candidates prior to having discussion in Executive Session, there may not be consistency. The Board recommended tabling the rule petitions submitted by Nathan P. Hoxsie, Erica Wilkins and Torrea S. Harris for further discussion in Executive Session.

Mr. Brinson made a motion to grant the rule waiver petition from Georgia State Prison, PHH05792. Mr. Henderson seconded and the Board voted unanimously in favor of the motion.

Mr. Brinson made a motion to grant the rule waiver petitions submitted by AU Medical Center Cancer Center Pharmacy, PHCL0000012, AU Medical Center Employee Pharmacy, PHRE010837, AU Medical Center Georgia Cancer Center – Downtown, PHCL000043, AU Medical Center Inpatient Pharmacy, PHH003623, AU Medical Center Outpatient Pharmacy, PHRE002638, and AU Medical Center Retail Pharmacy, PHRE010130. Mr. Stone seconded and the Board voted unanimously in favor of the motion.

Correspondence from Kameron Huffman, Effingham Health System Retail Pharmacy

The Board viewed this correspondence for informational purposes only.

Correspondence from Thomas Daly

The Board considered this correspondence regarding a company that represents pharma manufacturers, wholesalers and third party logistics. Mr. Daly's letter states that they have received dozens of inquiries about the ability to ship drug samples directly to patients due to a recently released FDA guidance and the FDA is allowing direct shipment for the duration of the emergency, however it is ultimately up to the states. His letter asks if Georgia would allow direct shipment in rare circumstances to designated patients. The Board directed staff to respond to Mr. Daly by referring him to O.C.G.A. § 16-13-72, which gives guidelines on how to provide samples.

Correspondence from Lisa Recht, GE Healthcare Pharmacy

The Board considered this correspondence regarding pharmacy intern hours. Ms. Recht's inquiry asks if virtual internship hours will be counted the same as physical intern hours. After discussion, the Board stated it would accept virtual intern hours during the State of Emergency.

Correspondence from Kaitlyn George

The Board considered this correspondence requesting clarification regarding drug destruction on behalf of a long-term care facility. In response, the Board directed staff to respond by referring her to Rules 480-24-.06 and 480-10-.17 for more information.

Correspondence from Stephen Snow, Bendin Sumrall & Ladner, LLC

Mr. Snow spoke to the Board regarding the recent Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) related to 503A compounding pharmacies. He stated that under the Food & Drug Cosmetic Act, Congress required the FDA to present a MOU to the states for signature that would address the interstate distribution of inordinate amounts of compounded medications and also provide for the appropriate investigation by states of complaints related to compounded drugs shipped out of state. Mr. Snow stated they provided a number of different versions of the MOU over the years. He stated that in May, the FDA issued a final MOU, which is currently being reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to make sure the MOU follows the Paper Reduction Act and would not present undue financial hardship on state boards. Mr. Snow stated that this is a final MOU that once it does go live, states will have a year to evaluate and decide if they want to sign under that MOU. He stated that this will be a challenge and many states have apprehension about signing it, but on the flip side, the ramifications of not signing it are pretty severe. Mr. Snow stated that some states are concerned about the impacts the MOU will place on states. He stated that it will not only impact pharmacies, but patients as well. Mr. Snow explained that the penalty for not signing the MOU is compounders will be limited in their ability to ship drugs to patients residing in other states to only 5% of the pharmacy's total prescription orders. He stated if another state refuses to sign the MOU, patients in Georgia who rely upon drugs compounded in that particular state will no longer have access to those drugs. Mr. Snow stated that this would dramatically impact compounders in the state of Georgia. He stated that compounding has become very specialized and this could have a major impact on the availability of those drugs in Georgia. He further stated that his purpose is to put this on the Board's radar so it can know what the issues are that have been raised by the states so a thorough investigation can begin.

President Harris commented that this has been dragging out for years and it is a travesty that it has gotten to this point. The Board discussed the ramifications of signing and not signing the MOU. Mr. Snow commented that one of the concerns is in the drafting of this MOU, the FDA significantly changed the historical definition of distribution and dispensing. He stated Georgia law patterns the historical understanding of the terms and states that "dispense" or "dispensing" means the preparation and delivery of a drug or device to a patient, patient's caregiver, or patient's agent pursuant to a lawful order of a practitioner, in a suitable container appropriately labeled for subsequent administration to, or use by, a patient. He further stated that "distribute" means the delivery of a drug or device other than by administering or dispensing. He stated that these two definitions are consistent across the country. He further stated that under the MOU, the FDA has changed those two definitions where now "dispensing" only includes hand delivery of a drug to a patient at the pharmacy. He stated that any other delivery to a patient, even patient-specific delivery to a patient, is under the definition of "distribution". Mr. Snow stated that what this is doing is vastly increasing the jurisdictional reach of the FDA to oversee and regulate what had traditionally been state regulated dispensing activities. President Harris thanked Mr. Snow for keeping the Board informed and providing the information.

Correspondence from Scott Brunner, Alliance for Pharmacy Compounding

The Board viewed this correspondence for informational purposes only.

Correspondence from Neal Watson, NABP

The Board considered this correspondence asking the following questions:

1. **FDA MOU:** Does your state intend to sign the most recent version of the FDA MOU?

2. **Inspections:** Is your state currently inspecting? Would you be OK with NABP inspecting in your state if that decision is made by NABP?

The Board directed staff to respond to Mr. Watson by stating it has not made a determination regarding the FDA MOU, and in response to his second question, the Georgia Drugs and Narcotics Agency currently inspects pharmacies located in Georgia for the Georgia Board of Pharmacy. NABP can do inspections/surveys in Georgia, but only if authorized by the PIC of the pharmacy or the license owner of the facility. If NABP wishes to inspect a pharmacy or facility in the State of Georgia, the Board has asked that they notify GDNA prior to doing so, which they appear to have been complying with.

Georgia Drugs and Narcotics Agency – Dennis Troughton

Director Troughton reported that GDNA has conducted 2472 inspections and received 421 complaints for FY2020. He added that for FY2021 GDNA has conducted 81 inspections and received 13 complaints.

Attorney General’s Report – Max Changus

No report.

Executive Director’s Report – Eric Lacefield

Continuing Education Report: Report presented. Mr. Brinson made a motion to ratify the below continuing education program approved since the previous meeting. Mr. Prather seconded and the Board voted unanimously in favor of the motion.

Date of Program	Hours	Sponsoring Group	Program Title	CE Code
07/10/2020-12/31/2020	1	The Medical Center, Navicent Health	Pharm Tech Education Series: Pharmacy Math	2020-0009

Information on Practical Exam: Mr. Lacefield reported that at the Board’s previous meeting there was a request for data regarding the practical examination. He stated that he emailed the information to the Board earlier that morning. He further stated that it is information related to the number of times the Board’s candidates took exams and whether the candidate was licensed or not. Mr. Azzolin commented that the data presented was really good and that he has converted it to a graphical format. He shared the information with the Board.

Miscellaneous

Practical Examination Review Committee: Mr. Prather suggested the Board, given its current situation regarding the practical examination, appoint a committee that would include Ms. Judy Gardner to review and come up with an exam that would be more inclusive of what this Board wants the examination to be. He stated that he feels the Board would do well with a committee review instead of having the entire Board review it. He asked what the Board’s thoughts were on this subject. President Harris responded that she thinks the Board definitely needs to look at the practical and if it were to continue it, it needs to review and revamp it. Mr. Prather stated that he believes it would be good to have members from the retail and hospital sides, along with Ms. Gardner, since she is a former board member and has assisted with the exam. He further stated that Ms. Gardner would be an asset to any committee.

Mr. Stone asked if all of the committee meetings need to be public. Ms. Emm responded that the actual examination and any discussion on its questions would be confidential and done in Executive Session. Mr. Changus commented that he agreed with Ms. Emm and stated the meeting would need to be posted. President Harris asked if there were any volunteers to serve on the committee. Mr. Azzolin asked if it was appropriate to have someone who is no longer on the Board participate on the committee. He added that he respects Ms. Gardner very much, especially with her assistance on the practical examination; however, he stated that he was concerned about the literal and legal perception of having a non-board member

participate in the reviewing that confidential information. Mr. Changus responded that the exam questions are confidential under O.C.G.A. § 43-1-2. He added that, in terms of discussions of what is the best way to approach the practical is general discussion and is in Open Session. Mr. Changus explained that the Board could include individuals from the outside on those discussions. He asked Mr. Prather if the idea of having the committee was to discuss how to move forward rather than discussing specific exam questions themselves. Mr. Prather responded that his idea was not regarding a review of the specific questions themselves, but rather have a few board members and Ms. Gardner discuss how to best improve the practical. Vice-President Faulk commented that in regards to the concern about the information being shared with someone outside of the Board, the Board has former members assist with proctoring the examination, so he does not think it is an issue. President Harris commented that Ms. Gardner is viewed as a consultant. Mr. Azzolin responded that if that is the case, he agrees with it, but just wanted to inquire as to the appropriateness of it.

Mr. Azzolin and Mr. Stone volunteered to be on the committee. President Harris stated she would assist as well. Mr. Changus stated that it was previously mentioned that exam discussions would be in Executive Session and another item mentioned was the Board has former board members assist with conducting the practical exams. He stated that this would be a meeting that needs to be noted where the committee is just talking about general things because a former board member does not need to be involved in discussions held in Executive Session. Mr. Lacefield commented that once the committee is appointed, the meeting would be conducted just like any other public meeting and staff will get the notice out. President Harris stated the discussion on how to proceed with the practical needs to be conducted in Open Session, whereas discussion on the exam content itself needs to be done in Executive Session. She asked if Mr. Changus was stating that Ms. Gardner could not participate in that discussion since it was in Executive Session. Mr. Changus responded by stating that the question is how does the Board best move forward with the practical examination in whatever form it might take. He further stated that the Board could have input in Open Session on the matter; however, once it starts discussion on the examination questions themselves, which would need to be reserved to the Board and allowed to be discussed in Executive Session so everyone does not have access to that information. With no further discussion, Mr. Prather made a motion to appoint Mr. Stone and Mr. Azzolin to the committee. Mr. Henderson seconded and the Board voted unanimously in favor of the motion.

Legal Services – Kimberly Emm

Ms. Emm discussed the legislative change made to federal student loans. Mr. Azzolin made a motion to repeal Rule 480-49-.01 Federal Student Loan Default. Mr. Prather seconded and the Board voted unanimously in favor of the motion.

Mr. Prather made a motion and Vice-President Faulk seconded, and the Board voted to enter into **Executive Session** in accordance with O.C.G.A. § 43-1-19(h)(2) and § 43-1-2(k) to deliberate and to receive information on applications, investigative reports and the Assistant Attorney General’s report. Voting in favor of the motion were those present who included Carrie Ashbee, Michael Azzolin, Michael Brinson, Mike Faulk, Lisa Harris, Hal Henderson, Bill Prather and Dean Stone.

Executive Session

Appearances

- R.W.C.
- K.H.G.

Georgia Drugs and Narcotics Agency – Dennis Troughton

- T.M.C.
- C.D.

Cognizant's Report – Mike Faulk

- GDNA Case # T33256
- GDNA Case # A33325
- GDNA Case # T33347
- GDNA Case # A33337
- GDNA Case # B33208
- GDNA Case # B33194
- GDNA Case # A33297
- GDNA Case # A33368
- GDNA Case # B33356
- GDNA Case # B33315
- GDNA Case # B33236
- GDNA Case # T33394
- GDNA Case # T33395

Attorney General's Report – Max Changus

Mr. Changus discussed the following cases:

- C.M.S.
- R.P.N.
- U.O.U.
- G.M.B.
- M.C.

Mr. Changus presented the following consent orders for acceptance:

- C.V.S.P.
- C.V.S.P.

Mr. Changus discussed pending litigation.

Executive Director's Report – Eric Lacefield

No report.

Legal Services – Kimberly Emm

- S.A.C.
- E.

Applications

- T.N.A.
- K.A.C.
- T.G.H.
- D.S.B.
- J.A.D.
- S.B.W.
- T.K.
- I.I.
- C.M.S.I.
- C.S.
- A.S.

Correspondences/Requests

- I.C.S.
- R.T.G.
- I.W.P.
- B.B.P.
- A.P.
- A.E.P.
- A.P.S.P.
- A.P.
- E.S.P.
- S.P.
- L.H.J.
- J.H.
- C.N.C.
- K.D.T.
- A.J.
- J.N.E.
- S.A.P.
- J.C.M.C.

No votes were taken in Executive Session. President Harris declared the meeting back in Open Session.

Open Session

Approval of Minutes

Mr. Henderson made a motion to approve the Public and Executive Session minutes from the June 17, 2020 Conference Call and the June 18, 2020 Conference Call with the changes noted. Ms. Ashbee seconded and the Board voted unanimously in favor of the motion.

Petitions for Rule Waiver or Variance

The Board discussed the rule variance petitions submitted by Nathan P. Hoxsie and Erica Wilkins, along with the rule waiver petition submitted by Torrea S. Harris. President Harris stated that she was good with granting the petitions. Mr. Brinson agreed. Ms. Emm responded by asking President Harris and Mr. Brinson if they were okay with accepting these petitions as each demonstrating a unique hardship. Mr. Henderson inquired about the candidates who failed in the past. President Harris stated that Ms. Wilkins and Ms. Harris both had a financial hardship and one has practiced in a state for 17 years. Mr. Stone responded by stating that they can all claim financial hardship. He commented that candidates will not be able to get tested anytime soon and is unsure if they will be able to in January. Mr. Azzolin commented that while he agrees with President Harris, there is a rule in place and the consistent thing to do is tell each of them that they will not have an opportunity for a license until the exam is available. He added that if the Board grants the petitions, then every candidate who has failed the exam can say these did not have a hardship that is any difference than theirs. He continued by stating that he feels that would put the Board in a precarious situation. Mr. Changus agreed with Mr. Azzolin and stated that there seems to be heartburn over the application of the rule. He stated that there is financial impact every single time. Mr. Changus stated that the way the rule was written was designed specifically to prevent these people from getting a license without an exam. He stated that in terms of the delay due to COVID-19, there is nothing unique about each of their situations as far as the rule goes. He added that if the Board waives the requirement for one, it would have to do so for everybody. Mr. Azzolin stated that as a follow up to what he stated earlier, he still disagrees with the rule. He stated that he thinks the Board should be allowed to grant them a license under this scenario without the requirement of the practical. Mr. Azzolin stated that the Board

should be allowed to rely on the standards of the MPJE, NAPLEX and the accredited colleges of pharmacy.

Discussion was held by the Board regarding Emergency Rule 480-2-0.41-.04(4). Mr. Brinson discussed the statement on the Board's website that states, "*Any applicant who has previously taken and failed the Georgia practical exam will not be eligible for licensure under this emergency rule. The Board may consider a pathway to licensure for these individuals at a future date.*" He commented that the Board is now at the "future date". Ms. Emm responded that it is not an individual case by case. She added that it gives the board an outlet to consider changing the rule to address these failures. Mr. Brinson asked if that paragraph can be stricken and add language saying anyone who comes to Georgia does not have to take the practical. Mr. Lacefield stated that the Board can discuss striking that language regarding applicants that had failed the exam, but suggested the Board finish its discussion on the three rule petitions first.

Mr. Henderson made a motion to deny the rule petitions from Nathan P. Hoxsie, Erica Wilkins, and Torrea S. Harris. Vice-President Faulk seconded the motion and the Board voted in favor of the motion, with the exception of President Harris and Mr. Brinson, who opposed.

Miscellaneous

Rule 480-2-0.41-.04(4) Examinations. Discussion resumed on this topic. Mr. Changus stated that if the Board wanted to proceed with amending the emergency rule or submitting a substitute rule to the Governor's Office, that would be okay. Mr. Henderson commented that he feels the Board is "putting the cart before the horse". He stated that it is unfortunate the impact this has had. He added that the Board has tried everything it could to resolve the situation, but it cannot fix everything. Mr. Henderson stated for the Board to do away with the requirements to pass the practical and then down the road reinstate the practical, invalidates it. He asked why should some people pass it and not others. He added that he is aware that this is controversial; however, this Board needs to make a stand of continuing to either be in favor of the practical or not. President Harris commented that these are unusual times. She continued by stating that she feels the Board could waive the requirement for such during the pandemic and, at a point in time when it is safe, bring it back. Mr. Lacefield responded that the Board has waived it already. He added that for just this particular group of people, it has not. He continued by stating that at this point, we can assume the pandemic will end at some time, but the Board is talking about a finite amount of time this exam requirement is waived. Mr. Lacefield stated the exam is already waived for most candidates. He further stated there is a small population that it is not waived for and the Board is talking about that small population. Mr. Brinson commented he previously had been in favor of maintaining the practical examination; however, this situation has changed his opinion on the subject. Mr. Azzolin commented that the Board has denied the three rule petitions, which gets the Board to the point of discussing philosophy of the practical in general. He stated that he understands there is a sentimental aspect of this practical, and as he read in the minutes, a member stated that it is a rite of passage. He continued by stating that he understands there is a connection to this practical that goes beyond whether or not a pharmacist is capable and he respects that, but does not agree with it. Mr. Stone responded that he believes he was the one who previously said the taking of the practical was a rite of passage. He stated that he feels that since he was required to take it, everyone else should as well. President Harris responded that 47 other states have done away with that "rite of passage". Mr. Stone commented that he is in the middle about it because the practice of pharmacy has evolved. He added that compounding has become so specialized. Mr. Stone stated that now the budget factors into it. He stated that he if were asked about the practical today, he would probably lean towards doing away with it because of COVID-19. Mr. Lacefield commented that today the Board is discussing if it wants to amend the emergency rule, not changing the rule in its totality. Mr. Henderson stated that he felt the two were tied together. He added that he thinks if the Board amends the rule and allow applicants to get licensed, the future decision of the Board has an impact on this. Ms. Ashbee agreed with Mr. Henderson. Mr. Changus commented that this topic was brought up from the three rule petitions and suggested the Board add to this matter to its August agenda for discussion, rather

than trying to decide something now. Ms. Ashbee responded that as the consumer member of the Board, she is the only member that has not taken the exam and would like to do further research on this topic. President Harris stated that she is a traditionalist and feels the exam is a rite of passage, but at the same time, she hates to see someone denied a license when the individual has passed the MPJE and NAPLEX.

Mr. Brinson made a motion for the Board to take the following actions:

Appearances

- R.W.C. Request to discuss reinstatement Approved to sit for the exam and refer to the Department of Law
- K.H.G. Request to discuss reinstatement Refer to the Department of Law

Georgia Drugs and Narcotics Agency – Dennis Troughton

- T.M.C. Notice of Discipline No action
- C.D. Notice of Discipline No action

Cognizant’s Report – Mike Faulk

- GDNA Case # T33256 Revoke Technician Registration
- GDNA Case # A33325 Table to allow additional time to review
- GDNA Case # T33347 Revoke Technician Registration
- GDNA Case # A33337 No action
- GDNA Case # B33208 Investigative Interview
- GDNA Case # B33194 Misfill Policy #1
- GDNA Case # A33297 No action
- GDNA Case # A33368 Refer to the Department of Law
- GDNA Case # B33356 Close with no action
- GDNA Case # B33315 Close with no action
- GDNA Case # B33236 Close with no action
- GDNA Case # T33394 Accept Signed Voluntary Surrender
- GDNA Case # T33395 Accept Signed Voluntary Surrender

Attorney General’s Report – Max Changus

Mr. Changus discussed the following cases:

- C.M.S. Close case
- R.P.N. Update provided
- U.O.U. Update provided
- G.M.B. Update provided
- M.C. Deny records request

Mr. Changus presented the following consent orders for acceptance:

- CVS Pharm #7622 Public Consent Order accepted
- CVS Pharm #2184 Public Consent Order accepted

Mr. Changus discussed pending litigation.

Executive Director’s Report – Eric Lacefield

No report.

Legal Services – Kimberly Emm

- S.A.C. Open Records Request Denied Request
- E. Open Records Request Denied Request

Applications

- Tanea N. Anderson Pharmacy Technician Approved for registration
- K.A.C. Pharmacist Intern Approved request for extension
- Tyler G. Haynes Pharmacist Intern Approved application
- David S. Bailey Nuclear Pharmacist Approved application
- J.A.D. Pharmacist Reciprocity Approved to sit for the exam
- S.B.W. Pharmacist Reciprocity Approved to sit for the exam
- T.K. Pharmacist Examination Approved to sit for the exam
- Immucor, Inc. Wholesaler Pharmacy Approved application
- C.M.S.I. Durable Medical Equipment Supplier Denied application
- C.S. Durable Medical Equipment Supplier Denial upheld
- ActivStyle Durable Medical Equipment Supplier Approved application

Correspondences/Requests

- I.C.S. Notice of Discipline No action
- R.T.G. Notice of Discipline No action
- I.W.P. Notice of Discipline No action
- B.B.P. Notice of Discipline No action
- A.P. Notice of Discipline No action
- A.E.P. Notice of Discipline No action
- A.P.S.P. Notice of Discipline No action
- A.P. Notice of Discipline No action
- E.S.P. Notice of Discipline No action
- S.P. Notice of Discipline No action
- L.H.J. Appearance request Denied request
- J.H. Correspondence Investigative Interview
- C.N.C. Appearance request Approved request
- K.D.T. Request to terminate consent order Approve request effective August 6, 2020
- A.J. Request for 4th attempt at MPJE Approved request
- J.N.E. Request for 4th attempt at MPJE Approved request
- S.A.P. Request for 5th attempt at MPJE Approved request
- J.C.M.C. Remote order entry Approved

Ms. Ashbee seconded and the Board voted unanimously in favor of the motion.

Miscellaneous

Mr. Brinson inquired about the descheduling of Epidiolex. Director Troughton responded by stating that he has not received an official announcement from the DEA stating that it has been descheduled.

There being no further business to discuss, the meeting was adjourned at 2:03 p.m.

The next scheduled meeting of the Georgia Board of Pharmacy will be held via conference call on Wednesday, August 5, 2020 at 9:00 a.m., at the Department of Community Health's office located at 2 Peachtree Street, N.W., 6th floor, Atlanta, GA 30303.

Minutes recorded by Brandi Howell, Business Support Analyst I
Minutes edited by Eric Lacefield, Executive Director